

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                           | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/811,546                                | 03/29/2004                         | Jee-Hoon Park        | 678-1314            | 2237             |
| 66547<br>THE FARREL                       | 7590 10/23/200<br>L LAW FIRM, P.C. | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| 333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD<br>SUITE 701 |                                    |                      | MCLEOD, MARSHALL M  |                  |
| UNIONDALE                                 | NY 11553                           | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|   |                                    | 2457                 |                     |                  |
|   |                                    |                      |                     |                  |
|   |                                    |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |                                    |                      | 10/23/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Ī | Application No. | Applicant(s) |  |  |  |  |
|---|-----------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/811,546      | PARK ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit     |  |  |  |  |
|   | MARSHALL MCLEOD | 2457         |  |  |  |  |

|  | MARSHALL MCLEOD  | 2457   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ears on the cover sheet with the o   | orrespondence add  | ress                                     |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI  | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR  | ALLOWANCE.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Requ for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (  | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing  | date of the final rejection                                | n.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date<br>have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext<br>under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the se<br>set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later<br>may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).<br>NOTICE OF APPEAL.   | tension and the corresponding amount of<br>shortened statutory period for reply origing<br>than three months after the mailing date  | of the fee. The appropria<br>nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee<br>e action; or (2) as |  |  |  |  |  |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp.   | liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t   | iled within two months                                     | of the date of                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the                                     | appeal. Since                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>  | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw);  | E below);  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet<br>appeal; and/or  | ter form for appeal by materially red  | lucing or simplifying ti                                   | ne issues for                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   |  | ected claims.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |  | mpliant Amendment (I                                       | PTOL-324).                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  |  | •  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)       how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of a mended claims.</li> </ol>  |  | be entered and an e  | xplanation of                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:<br>Claim(s) allowed:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 13-30.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome all rejections under appea   | l and/or appellant fail:                                   | s to provide a                           |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after er   | ntry is below or attach                                    | ed.                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu<br>See Continuation Sheet.  | t does NOT place the application in  | condition for allowan                                      | ce because:                              |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| /ARIO ETIENNE/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Original claims 1-12 was cancelled and new claims 13-30 added. The new claims require a new search because they distinct from the original claims filed by applicant.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant has cancelled claims 1-12 and added new claims 13-30 that would require the examiner to perform a new search for the limitations of the claims as well as any statutory or patentability issues pertaining to the new claims.